

# **LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of Aug. 1, 2015**

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. The data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

## **Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:**

<b>ORGANIZATION NAME</b>	<b>Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP</b>	<b>Case Review for LTCP in Progress</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met</b>	<b>Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria</b>
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	125	3	1	1	0
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	312	7	0	0	0
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	107	5	4	5	0
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	810	2	43	5	1
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	143	0	1	0	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	63	0	1	0	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	340	0	0	1	0
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	57	2	1	5	1
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	66	0	0	2	0
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	173	2	1	1	0
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	77	2	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,273</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>

**Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:**

<b>ORGANIZATION NAME</b>	<b>Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP</b>	<b>Case Review for LTCP in Progress</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met</b>	<b>Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria</b>
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	149	0	0	2	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	49	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	1	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	177	0	0	5	1
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	36	0	0	2	0
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	102	0	2	2	1
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	160	0	0	3	0
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	51	1	0	0	0
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	88	1	3	0	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	47	1	0	2	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	108	1	3	3	1
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	30	1	1	1	0
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	87	0	1	1	0
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	35	2	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,120</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>

## Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required i	PTCP Not Started <sup>ii</sup>	PTCP in Progress iii	PTCP Saved as Final <sup>iv</sup>	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months <sup>v</sup>	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 vi	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 <sup>vii</sup>	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 viii
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	122	1	3	118	26	25	29	64
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	297	4	5	288	162	160	93	35
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	114	0	1	113	62	57	50	6
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	758	25	7	726	170	134	550	43
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	132	0	3	129	10	10	66	53
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	58	2	6	50	12	10	21	19
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	332	2	10	320	44	42	159	119
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	56	0	4	52	25	25	10	17
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	65	3	1	61	26	26	15	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	175	2	5	168	62	58	69	41
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	87	10	0	77	14	11	60	6
SWRCB-DWQ	4	0	0	4	1	0	4	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,202</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>2,106</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>1126</b>	<b>423</b>

i PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ii PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

iii PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

iv PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

vi Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viii Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

## Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required <sup>i</sup>	PTCP Not Started <sup>ii</sup>	PTCP in Progress <sup>iii</sup>	PTCP Saved as Final <sup>iv</sup>	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months <sup>v</sup>	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 <sup>vi</sup>	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 <sup>vii</sup>	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 <sup>viii</sup>
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	133	0	3	130	15	13	115	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	44	0	1	43	23	22	19	2
NAPA COUNTY LOP	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	174	0	11	163	37	34	124	5
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	33	0	7	26	0	0	17	9
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	101	0	12	89	67	64	16	9
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	150	0	0	150	37	24	115	11
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	40	0	1	39	14	14	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	79	0	1	78	16	12	63	3
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	46	0	0	46	6	6	40	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	109	0	2	107	21	18	89	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	31	2	1	28	13	13	14	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	84	0	0	84	9	6	77	1
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	36	0	4	32	25	25	4	3
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,061</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1,016</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>50</b>

<sup>i</sup> PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

<sup>ii</sup> PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

<sup>iii</sup> PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

<sup>iv</sup> PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

<sup>v</sup> Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

<sup>vi</sup> Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

<sup>vii</sup> Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

<sup>viii</sup> Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

**State Water Resources Control Board:**

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCB <sup>i</sup>	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	172	498	1,028

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Abeyance	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012 <sup>ii</sup>	Petitions Resolved <sup>iii</sup> Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	70	27	1	1	6	17	32	33	88	8

<sup>i</sup> Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

<sup>ii</sup> Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

<sup>iii</sup> The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.